
Measurement of longitudinal single-spin asymmetries for W^{\pm} boson production in polarized p+p collision at $\sqrt{s=510}$ GeV at RHIC







DIS 2015 XXIII International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects

Dallas, Texas April 27 – May 1, 2015 Devika Gunarathne (for the STAR collaboration) Temple University





Evolving Picture of Proton's Spin Structure



Valance Quarks

Sea Quarks

Anti Quark Polarization

Spin sum rule for longitudinally Polarized proton :

$$< S_p >= \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L$$


$$\Delta \Sigma = \int (\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \overline{u} + \Delta \overline{d} + \Delta \overline{s}) dx$$

-30 % from polarized inclusive DIS


Helicity PDF

$$\Delta f(x,Q^2) \equiv f^+(x,Q^2) - f^-(x,Q^2)$$

DSSV Global Analysis




W Boson Production



* Direct Coupling to the Quark and anti Quark of interest.

***** Maximum violation of parity leads to perfect spin separation.



***** High resolution scale (Q2) set by the W mass.

* Easy detection via the leptonic decay channels.


Parity violating longitudinal single spin asymmetry

$$A_L = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}$$


WAL : Theoretical Aspects

WA_L, highly sensitive to individual polarizations at forward and backward decay lepton pseudo rapidity (η_e)


$$\eta = -\ln\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) < x_{1,2} > \sim \frac{M_W}{\sqrt{s}} e^{\pm \eta_e/2}$$



RHIC : Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider




STAR Detector Overview




Mid-rapidity $(|\eta_e| < 1)$ W Selection

W-> e+v candidate




Isolated tracks -> isolated EMC cluster


Large opposite missing "energy"




signed
$$P_T$$
 – balance = $\frac{(\vec{p}_T^e, \vec{p}_T^{balance})}{|\vec{p}^e|}$

QCD background candidate








Devika Gunarathne - DIS, April 27-May 1 2015 · WAL measurement at STAR


Mid-rapidity Background Estimation



Forward rapidity (1<η_e<1.4) W selection uses similar technique as mid rapidity and Background Estimation improve using additional Endcap Shower Maximum Detector (ESMD)


Results

$W A_L (\eta_e) 2012+2011$



Impact on Recent Global Analysis


- Preliminary Global analysis (DSSV++) from DSSV group and recent NNPDF includes preliminary STAR 2012 W AL data.
- *Shift in central value for $\Delta \overline{u}$ (negative -> positive) and $\Delta \overline{d}$ due to A_L W from STAR.
- *****STAR 2012 W results provide significant constraints on anti u and anti d quark polarization.



STAR 2013 W Analysis Status

2013 Data Sample

Barrel EMC triggered Integrated Luminosity




	L (pb-1)	Р	FOM (P ² L (pb ⁻¹))
Run 9	12	0.38	1.7
Run 11	9.4	0.49	2.3
Run 12	72	0.56	24
Run 13	~ 300	0.54	~ 87


In 2013 STAR collected an average luminosity of ~300 pb⁻¹ at \sqrt{s} =500 GeV with an average beam polarization of ~54%.

Detector Calibration


* TPC

- Charge Sign reconstruction is based on bending of TPC tracks in the presence of magnetic field.
- Challenging environment of charge-sign discrimination at high-pT using TPC in high luminosity / pile-up operation - Very careful TPC calibration required!!!!






global TPC tracks




W candidate tracks




Detector Calibration

* BEMC



◆BEMC is a sampling calorimeter and it is segmented in to 4800 towers, each which subtends 0.05 unit in η and 0.05 radians in φ.





The geometry of the calorimeter towers in a BEMC module

Calibration need to be done pointing in all possible Energy range


- In the low energy range relative Calibration of towers is done using abundant MIPs (high P hadrons)
- **♦ E/P from electrons** is used to obtain absolute calibration.
- ♦ Other High Energy probes (from rare processes) such as Z invariant mass peak position , slope of the sharp drop of W jacobin peak will used to calibrate in the high energy range.

Mid-rapidity Analysis Status : W selection





Sign-P_T balance





Devika Gunarathne - DIS, April 27-May 1 2015 · WAL measurement at STAR

Forward-rapidity Analysis Status :


FGT (Forward Gem Tracker)

WCharge-sign Separation using FGT





FGT(2≥hits)+Vertex+EEMC+Prompt



Prompt = actual measurement using TPC internal Multi-Wire proportional chamber


FGT res=0.02cm , VTX-XY res=0.02cm, VTX-Z res=1cm, TPC prompt res=0.1cm, EEMC res=0.3cm

~2.5 sigma separation with FGT+VTX+EEMC +PROMPT (~1/3 events)

STAR 2013 W AL Projections

STARWAL Projections

Impact on antiquark polarization



arXiv: 1304.0079

Summary / Outlook


- The Production of W boson in polarized P+P collisions provides a new means to study the spin and flavor asymmetries of the proton sea quark distribution.
- The Production of W boson in polarized P+P collisions provides a new means to study the spin and flavor asymmetries of the proton sea quark distribution.
- STAR has measured the parity violating single spin asymmetry A_L for $|\eta_e| < 1.4$ from 2012 and 2011 data, providing the first detailed look at the asymmetries η_e dependence.
- STAR 2012 W A_L results provide significant constraints on anti u and anti d quark polarization.
- Large statistics of STAR Run 2013 is being analyzed now and will use the 510 GeV Barrel EM calorimeter calibration which is in progress for mid-rapidity analysis.
- STAR Run13 data will be analyzed separately in mid -rapidity region (|η| < 1) and extend up to η = 1.4 utilizing the EEMC in the forward region and then very forward region using the FGT as the tracking device requiring completion of carful calibration of EEMC and FGT.
- High precision results from 2013 will improve the constraints on the sea quark polarization.

Backup

systematic uncertainties

- Beam polarization uncertainty: correlated scale 3.4%
- Relative luminosity uncertainty: correlated offset $\Delta A_L = 0.007$
- Background estimation: less than 10% of statistical error


unpolarized BG β



 W^+ eta: \sim 0.95, W^- eta: \sim 0.9


where $\beta = S/(S+B)$, S and B are the number of signal and background events in [25, 50] GeV

STAR 2009 W Results



STAR pp500 Longitudinal			
Run	L (pb ⁻¹)	$W^+(W^-)$ raw yield	
2009	12	462 (192)	
2011	9	342 (103)	
2012	77	2417 (734)	

Mid-Rapidity charge sign separation




Extracting Asymmetries using Profile Likelihood Method

Profile Likelihood method used in extracting Asymmetries from combination of run 2012 and run 2011 data [simple gaussian uncertainties breakdown particularly for small 2011 data sample]

Define likelihood function for 8 spin-dependent yields from pair of symmetric η region of STAR

$$L = \prod_{i}^{4} p(M_{i}^{a} \mid \mu_{i}^{a}) p(M_{i}^{b} \mid \mu_{i}^{b}) g(\beta^{a}) g(\beta^{b})$$



 $p(M_i \mid \mu_i)$ - Poisson probability, for measured spin sorted yield M_i in the expected value μ_i given by:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{++}^{a} &= I_{++} N (1 + P_{1} \beta A_{L}^{+\eta_{e}} + P_{2} \beta A_{L}^{-\eta_{e}} + P_{1} P_{2} \beta A_{LL} \\ \mu_{+-}^{a} &= I_{+-} N (1 + P_{1} \beta A_{L}^{+\eta_{e}} - P_{2} \beta A_{L}^{-\eta_{e}} - P_{1} P_{2} \beta A_{LL} \\ \end{split}$$
$$\end{split}$$
$$\begin{split} \mu_{-+}^{a} &= I_{-+} N (1 - P_{1} \beta A_{L}^{+\eta_{e}} + P_{2} \beta A_{L}^{-\eta_{e}} - P_{1} P_{2} \beta A_{LL} \\ \mu_{--}^{a} &= I_{--} N (1 - P_{1} \beta A_{L}^{+\eta_{e}} - P_{2} \beta A_{L}^{-\eta_{e}} + P_{1} P_{2} \beta A_{LL} \end{split}$$


 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P_1, P_2} & \text{- beam polarization} & A_L^{+\eta_e}(A_L^{-\eta_e}) \text{- single spin asymmetry} \\ A_{LL} & \text{- double spin asymmetry} & \mathbf{N} & \text{- spin averaged yield} & I_{\pm\pm} & \text{- relative luminosity} \end{array}$

 $g(\beta)$ - Gaussian probability for estimated dilution background β


Extract asymmetries from likelihood function $L_{2011} \times L_{2012}$

Devika Gunarathne - DIS, April 27-May 1 2015 WAL measurement at STAR


Run 12 Preliminary results compare to Final




STAR FINAL Run 2012+2011




Endcap W Selection




ESMD CUTS






$$R_{ESMD} = \frac{\sum_{i=-3}^{+3} E_i^U + E_i^V}{\sum_{i=-20}^{+20} E_i^U + E_i^V}$$


Forward Rapidity Background Estimation and charge sign separation



Run 12 ALL and Z AL results

$$A_{LL} = \frac{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) - (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})}{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) + (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})}$$





Z invariant mass

- SV $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\overline{u}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{2$
- * Probes different combination of quark polarizations

$$A_{LL} = \frac{\sigma^{++} - \sigma^{+-}}{\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{+-}} \qquad A_{LL}^{W+} \sim \frac{\Delta u}{u} \frac{\Delta \bar{d}}{\bar{d}} \qquad A_{LL}^{W-} \sim \frac{\Delta d}{d} \frac{\Delta \bar{u}}{\bar{u}}$$

* Asymmetries expected to be smaller, and first measurement consistent with predictions from DSSV

W production: more details

Helicity structure can see in the differential cross section of W

$$\frac{d\sigma_{W^+}}{d\cos\theta} \propto \bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2 + u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2 \frac{d\sigma_{W^-}}{d\cos\theta} \propto \bar{u}(x_1)d(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2 + d(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2,$$

W tends to boost direction of the valance quark traveling

Helicity structure of the interaction causes lepton to emit parallel (antiparallel) to W-(W+)




higher (lower) x parton in the collision is most likely quark (antiquark). And quark is very likely to come from valance region

W longitudinal momentum e decay kinametics in lab frame related to W boost direction

$$p_{L,W} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left(x_1 - x_2 \right) \qquad p_{L,e}^{lab} = \frac{1}{\gamma} p_{L,e}^* + \beta E_e^{lab}, \quad p_{L,e}^* = \cos\theta \cdot M_W / 2 \quad (p_T^e = \sin\theta \cdot M_W / 2),$$

W, di-Jet and Z type events


simulated Z-ee event



simulated W->e event

simulated di-jet event

Mid-rapidity ($|\eta_e| < 1$)W Selection



Devika Gunarathne - DIS, April 27-May 1 2015 - W A_L measurement at STAR

29

FGT





Illustration of charge-sign discrimination

FGT track reconstruction

Comparison of data / fast MC: Track reconstruction



Devika Gunarathne - DIS, April 27-May 1 2015 WAL measurement at STAR

The central values are both from the theoretical predictions. So the central values of the polarized anti-u and anti-d quarks should be same. The the uncertainties are estimated from the W yields. For the old version, it maybe estimated from the run9 W efficiency. But for the new version, the W yields are estimated from run13 W efficiency. Due to the higher <zdc> rate , the later one should be a little smaller. I roughly compared the error bars in these two projection plots, the differences is very slight, something like <10%. But, for the new version, we indeed don't have the corresponding polarized anti-u and anti-d distribution.

[simple gaussian uncertainties breakdown particularly for small 2011 data sample]

