RUN 13 W AL ANALYSIS

Devika & Jinlong

2009	2012	2013
~500	~2500	~10000

STAR Collaboration Meeting - 06 /02-06/ 2015

OUTLINE

- Overview of Current Status
- Data Set
- Analysis Cuts
- Background Estimation
- BEMC Calibration
- Summary / Future Plans

CURRENT STATUS

- Issue with the discrepancy between data and MC.
- Optimization of various W selection cuts- Not really the answer.
- Discrepancy between data-MC was mainly caused by the use of out dated (Run 9 200 GeV) BEMC calibration.
- Calibration process of BEMC using run 13 500 GeV data is ongoing.
- Once the BEMC calibration is completed release of preliminary A_L results.

ANALYSIS CUTS



BACKGROUND ESTIMATION



- Large discrepancy between Data and MC.
 - Optimizing W-selection cuts.
 - Modified sign-pt balance cut.
 - New away E_T cut.
 - 500 GeV BEMC calibration

OPTIMIZING W SELECTION CUTS



OPTIMIZING W SELECTION CUTS



W-Jacobean Peak Position

- W-Jacobean peak position of run 13 data is shifted towards lower ET compared to Embedded MC and run12 data.
- This indicated the low gains in the BEMC tower calibration.



Z-invariant Mass Peak Position

PDG: 91.1876 GeV

- Run 13 data shows
 overall ~2% shift in
 Z invariant mass
 Peak.
- Another indication of low gains in BEMC tower calibration.



WHY WE NEED Run 13 BEMC Calibration??

- So far there has been **NO pp500 GeV BEMC calibration** done at STAR.
- Run 12 W analysis used run 9 pp200 GeV calibration done by Matt Walker and others.
- The total integrated luminosity is increased from run 9 to run 12 by factor of 6 and to run 13 by factor of 19.
- An **updated calorimeter calibration** is clearly needed several years after the last calibration was done for the release of preliminary and in particular published results.
- Run 12 pp200 GeV calibration recently completed by Kevin and they indicate that significant gain change from 200 to 500 GeV is expected.
- In run 13 we observe that the reconstructed invariant Z mass peak is shifted by $\sim 4.6\%$ towards low mass compare to embedded MC.
- Reconstructed W Jacobean Peak position in run 13 data sample (both period 1 and 2) is shifted compared to embedded MC and run 12 data.

Fudge-Factor

• We applied a fudge factor [=1.03] (estimated based on the W-Jacobean Peak position shift in run 13 compared to MC and run 12) at tower level and reanalyze run 13 data.



Introduction

- Calibration constants (gain) need to estimate in order to obtain the energy of calorimeter towers. $\mathbf{E} = (ADC-ped) * gain$
- Best way to calibrate calorimeter towers is to use abundant electrons tracks pointing to each tower. [since e's deposit all of their energy in to the towers with $E/P \sim 1$]
- But we do not have that many e's tower by tower.
- Obtain relative calibration using plenty of MIPs which are available tower by tower.
- Use E/P from electrons to adjust the relative constant and obtain the absolute gain. $\boxed{\text{gain} = C_{abs} = C_{rel} / \langle E/P \rangle}$

Relative Calibration : MIPS

- Obtain MIP ADC distribution of each tower.
- Fit it with a function ["gaus*Landau"] which best describe the signal and background regions.
- Obtain the mean of the fit as the MIP ADC value.
- Use the formula to calculate relative calibration constant for each tower.



Relative Calibration : Tower QA



Absolute Calibration : Electrons

- We use e's tracks that pointed to calorimeter towers to obtain E/P value.
- Group e's by eta rings (120 towers at same eta) and crate slices. (8 towers in each crate in same eta).
- Use HT trigger options to get E/P distribution in each eta ring and Fit with appropriate fitting function.
- Extract mean E/P value from the fit function.



Fit Function : "gaus+expo"



FORWARD RAPIDITY WAL ANALYSIS

REQUIREMENT

- In run 12 analysis W A_L results is extended to 2 bins in forward eta using EEMC.
- In run 13 we observe a peak towards low E_T in e+/e- ET distribution in forward analysis similar to Jacobean peak position in mid-rapidity.
- We believe this was caused by the outdated EEMC calibration and hence require an updated calibration of EEMC in order to extend run 13 analysis in to forward regions.
- We have a dataset with completed QA for the forward analysis.



FURTURE PLANS

- We plan to release run 13 preliminary W-A_L results using run13 pp 500 GeV BEMC calibration only in mid-rapidity region ($|\eta| < 1.0$).
- Final results will include,
 - run 13 A_L extended up to $\eta=1.4$ in forward region requiring updated calibration of EEMC.
 - run 12 A_L results with updated run 12 500 GeV calibration [run 12 500 GeV calibration is in progress].
- Very forward rapidity $(1.0 < |\eta| < 2.0)$ analysis using FGT is ongoing separate preliminary results will be released.

SUMMARY

BACK UP

DATA SET

- Data : QA completed.
 - Period 1 : 126.17 pb-1 [938 runs]
 - Period 2: 121.7 pb-1 [716 runs]

- Embedding : QA completed.
 - Period 1:
 - Period 2:

BG 4 ETA BINS- PERIOD 1



BG 4 ETA BINS- PERIOD 1

OPTIMIZING W SELECTION CUTS

nearCone Isolation cut

candidate e+/e- events $E_{T(2x2)}$ distribution after matching tracks requirement.



- Visible reduction of the discrepancy.
- Not much change in Signal / Background.
- Does Not resolve the issue.



RUN 12 BG



Sign-pt cut - P1



Sign-pt cut - P2



Modified-signPT cut









Fudge-Factor

• We applied a fudge factor [=1.03] (estimated based on the W-Jacobean Peak position shift in run 13 compared to MC and run 12) at tower level and reanalyze run 13 data.



MIP Cuts

- Vertex Rank > 1e6
- |vertex-Z| < 30 cm
- only one track per tower per event
- Track momentum > 1 GeV/c
- (ADC-ped) > 1.5 ped RMS
- Track must enter and exit the same tower
- Highest E neighboring tower in 3x3 cluster < 2 GeV

CALIBRATION OF TPC

TPC

• TPC calibration is completed for both period 1 and period 2.



PERIOD 2 ENDCAP BG



