φ-meson update

Gavin Wilks University of Illinois at Chicago 07/20/2023

Updates

- There was an issue in the centrality and rapidity dependent studies, where in some parts of the code, the pT bin was shifted.
 - difference between "<" and "<=".
- When looking and yield weighted averages of rapidity dependence the results did not agree, I think I was using the yield after efficiency and acceptance was applied to the distributions.
 - Caused edge rapidity bins with high ρ_{00} to contribute more to the integrated value.
 - Swapped to statistical error weighting for now, since it was easiest to implement in short amount of time.
- After these mistakes were corrected, we finally see agreement between centrality and rapidity dependent minbias results!
- There does appear to be a rapidity dependence which is reflected in the centrality and pT dependent studies.

Current methods

- Use published pT spectra and v2 in simulation.
- Smear EP according to known 2nd order EP in simulation and use this smeared EP to calculate |cosθ*|.
- Combine acceptance+efficiency and treat this as an overall efficiency.
- Then perform EP Resolution Correction.
- Statistical weighted values for any integrated value.

Rapidity Dependence



Rapidity Dependence



5

pT Dependence



Centrality Dependence



Centrality Dependence



8

0-80% Centrality 1.0 < pT < 5.0 GeV/c



Before Corrections





First order ρ_{00} status

- I have raw results for 19.6 GeV.
 - Rerun simulation of efficiency and acceptance with 1st order EP resolution smearing.
- Started calculating first order EP for 14.6 GeV.

First order pt dependence



NO CORRECTIONS

Looks like second order raw result.

First order centrality dependence



NO CORRECTIONS

not sure about systematic error on $|\eta| < 0.6$, 5-10% centrality

Looks like second order raw result.

First order rapidity dependence



ν

NO CORRECTIONS

Looks like second order raw result.

Looks like second order raw result.

Backup



