Preliminary figures request: Global spin alignment of φ-meson in Au+Au collisions in BES-II

Gavin Wilks 05/30/2022

Contact Information

PA name: Gavin Wilks

PA email address: gwilks3@uic.edu

Supervisor email address: <u>yezhenyu@uic.edu</u>

Introduction to Spin Alignment

Preferential alignment of a particle's spin with respect to the orbital angular momentum produced in heavy-ion collisions.

 ρ_{00} : 00th element of the spin density matrix.

 θ^* : angle between K⁺ daughter and polarization axis in parent's rest frame.

 ρ_{00} is found by fitting the parent particle's yield (*N*) vs $cos(\theta^*)$.

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta^*} = N_0 \times \left[(1 - \rho_{00}) + (3\rho_{00} - 1)\cos^2\theta^* \right]$$

Nucl. Phys.B18,332(1970)

 $\rho_{00} \neq 1/3$ indicates spin alignment.

Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request



Phys. Rev. C 98, 044907

Physics Motivation

- Preliminary studies from BES-I data found a large positive global spin alignment (ρ_{00} >1/3) for ϕ mesons.
- Conventional methods cannot support this value.
- Supported by a theoretical model considering a φ meson vector field coupling to s and s̄ quarks.

$$C_s^{(y)} \equiv g_{\phi}^4 \langle \tilde{E}_{\phi,z}^2 + \tilde{E}_{\phi,x}^2 \rangle$$

$$\widetilde{E}_{\phi,x} = (m_{\phi}^2/g_{\phi})E_{\phi,x}$$
 $\widetilde{E}_{\phi,z} = (m_{\phi}^2/g_{\phi})E_{\phi,z}$



Phys. Rev. D 101, 096005 (2020).

Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request

Analysis Motivation

- Preliminary results for BES-I energies show increasing global spin alignment for ϕ -meson at lower Au+Au collision energies (≤ 19.6 GeV).
 - Clarify ρ_{00} behavior in lower energy regime.
- BES-II provides significantly more statistics for lower collision energies.
 OBES-I 19.6 GeV: ~ 1.9 x 10⁷ events after cuts
 OBES-II 19.6 GeV: ~ 4.6 x 10⁸ events after cuts

Dataset

- Dataset: Au+Au 19.6 GeV BES-II
- Year: 2019
- Production tag: production_19GeV_2019
- Triggers used: 640001,640011,640021,640031,640041,640051
- Embedding request id: 20214203, 20214204
- Bad run list from StRefMultCorr

Event level cuts

- $|v_z| < 70 \text{ cm}$
- $|v_r| < 2 \text{ cm}$
- nBToFMatch > 2
- Pile-up rejection cuts from StRefMultCorr
- Centrality from StRefMultCorr
- No. of event before event cuts $\sim 1.05 \times 10^9$
- No. of event after event cuts $\sim 4.60 \times 10^8$

Track level cuts

$$\phi \to K^+ K^-$$

TPC Track Cuts for K^{+/-}

- $0.1 < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV/c}$
- |DCA| < 2 cm
- No. TPC hits > 15
- TPC hit ratio > 0.52
- $|\eta| < 1$

PID Cuts for K^{+/-}

- $|n\sigma_{K}| < 2.5$
- ToF: $0.16 < M^2 < 0.36$

TPC Event Plane

TPC Event Plane Cuts

- $0.15 < p_T < 2 \text{ GeV/c}$
- |DCA| < 1 cm
- No. TPC hits > 15
- TPC hit ratio > 0.52
- $|\eta| < 1$

Sub-event plane method

• η gap = 0.1

Apply run-by-run, centrality and v_z wise re-centering and shift calibrations





Efficiency vs. $cos(\theta^*)$

AuAu 19GeV 20%-60%



- Use Pythia6 to decay $\phi \to K^+ K^-$
- MC ϕ input flat in rapidity, p_T and ϕ .
- Drop tracks using TPC tracking and ToF matching efficiency of K^+ and K^- in each η & ϕ bin.
- If both kaons pass efficiency cuts, reconstruct φ meson.
- Fill histogram for RC and MC counts in each cos(θ*) bin.
- See slides 20-21 for TPC tracking and ToF matching efficiencies.

Signal Reconstruction



Analysis Procedure

Calculating ρ_{00} from angular distribution of decay daughters:

- Total ϕ meson yield calculated for each $\cos(\theta^*)$ bin.
- Correct yields for TPC tracking x ToF matching efficiency. Simulate ϕ decay in Pythia6 and apply efficiency to decay daughters to find efficiency vs. $\cos(\theta^*)$.
- Finite η acceptance correction calculated through simulated ϕ decay in Pythia6.
- η acceptance correction and event plane resolution (R_{21}^{sub}) correction applied by fitting efficiency corrected ρ_{00}^{obs} using the function:

$$\left[\frac{dN}{d(\cos\theta^*)}\right]_{\eta} = N_0 \times \left[\left(1 + \frac{B'F}{2}\right) + (A'+F)\cos^2\theta^* + \left(A'F - \frac{B'F}{2}\right)\cos^4\theta^*\right]$$

• F = acceptance parameter, A' & B' depend on ρ_{00}^{obs} and R_{21}^{sub} . (See slides 22-25 for details) Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request 13

Analysis Method





Event mixing is used to produce ϕ -meson background.

Normalize mixed event background to signal+background and subtract background

Fit signal histogram with Breit Wigner + 1st order polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{AF}{\left(m - m_{\phi}\right) + \left(\frac{\Gamma}{2}\right)^{2}} + a + bm$$

Yields are extracted by histogram integration.

Final ρ_{00} Extraction

$$\left[\frac{dN}{d(\cos\theta^*)}\right]_{\eta} = N_0 \times \left[\left(1 + \frac{B'F}{2}\right) + (A'+F)\cos^2\theta^* + \left(A'F - \frac{B'F}{2}\right)\cos^4\theta^*\right]$$



Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request

Systematics

Systematic Source	Central Value	Variations
dca	< 2.0 cm	< 2.0 cm, < 2.5 cm, < 3.0 cm
$ n\sigma_K $	< 2.5	< 2.0, < 2.5, < 3.0
Background normalization range	[1.04,1.05]	[0.99,1.0], [1.04,1.05], average of both
Yield extraction method	Breit-Wigner integration	Bin counting and Breit-Wigner integration
Yield extraction range	$< 2.0\sigma$	< 2.0s, < 2.5s, < 3.0s
Acceptance parameter, F	BES-I analysis	BES-I analysis, BES-II analysis

Another source of systematic error to consider is different options for the efficiency inputs to efficiency vs $cos(\theta^*)$ simulation.

- Vary input TPC efficiency for each η bin or each $\eta \& \phi$ bin.
- Vary fit method for ToF matching efficiency
 - Default "Fit to plateau": shape set by η bin integrated over ϕ , normalization set by plateau in each $\eta \& \phi$ bin.
 - Variation "Fit to η ": shape and normalization set by η bin integrated over ϕ .

ϕ meson ρ_{00} (p_T)





Mid-central Au+Au collisions (20-60%) BES-II Yield weighted average over $p_{T:}$ $\rho_{00}^{II} = 0.3622 \pm 0.0026 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.0049 \text{ (sys.)}$ $\rho_{00}^{II} > 1/3 \text{ with } 5.3\sigma$

BES-I Yield weighted average over $p_{T:}^{1}$ $\rho_{00}^{I} = 0.370 \pm 0.008 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.007 \text{ (sys.)}$ $\rho_{00}^{I} > 1/3 \text{ with } 3.5\sigma$

 ${\rho_{00}}^{II} \sim {\rho_{00}}^{I}$ with 0.65σ

[1] STAR Collaboration, arXiv: 2204.02302



Gavin V



$$\label{eq:model} \begin{split} \text{Mid-central Au+Au collisions (20-60\%)} \\ \text{BES-II Yield weighted average over $p_{T:}$} \\ \rho_{00}{}^{\text{II}} &= 0.3622 \pm 0.0026 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.0049 \text{ (sys.)} \\ \rho_{00}{}^{\text{II}} &> 1/3 \text{ with } 5.3\sigma \end{split}$$

MAR

BES-I Yield weighted average over $p_{T:}^{1}$ $\rho_{00}^{I} = 0.370 \pm 0.008 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.007 \text{ (sys.)}$ $\rho_{00}^{I} > 1/3 \text{ with } 3.5\sigma$

 $\rho_{00}{}^{II} \sim \rho_{00}{}^{I}$ with 0.65 σ

K^{*0} is projected using K

[1] STAR Collaboration, arXiv: 2204.02302



Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request

Summary

- We presented measurements of ϕ meson $\rho_{00}~(p_T)$ for BES-II 19.6 GeV Au+Au collisions.
- $\rho_{00}^{II} > 1/3$ with 5.3σ
- $\rho_{00}{}^{II} \sim \rho_{00}{}^{I}$ with 0.65 σ

• 3D random EP sanity check for ϕ meson ρ_{00} (p_T) found on slide 26.

BACKUP

Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request

TPC Tracking Efficiency

Calculated from STAR standard embedding.



Gavin Wilks STAR Preliminary Request

ToF Matching Efficiency

- Evaluated using real data.
- N_{TPC} = tracks passing strict $|n\sigma_K| < 0.6$ cut in addition to TPC performance cuts in attempts to accurately identify desired daughter particle, K^{+/-}.
- N_{ToF} = tracks with $\beta > 0$ and pass the above cuts.
- Matching Efficiency = N_{ToF} / N_{TPC} .



- Fitting is performed in each η and φ bin.
- Red dashed line = fit for η
 & φ integrated efficiency.
- This fit sets the initial parameters for bin-by-bin fitting.

EP Resolution and Acceptance Correction

- Decay ϕ -meson in Pythia6 with the following kinematics.
 - Random p_T from measured spectra in specific p_T bin.
 - Random rapidity from uniform distribution over [-1,1]
 - Random $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ using measured elliptic flow as input.
- Calculate $\cos(\theta^*)$ for K⁺ daughter.
- Use ϕ -meson yield vs cos(θ^*) from simulation to calculate F (acceptance coefficient)

$$\left[\frac{d\mathrm{N}}{d\cos\theta^*d\beta}\right]_{|\eta|} = \frac{d\mathrm{N}}{d\cos\theta^*d\beta} \times g(\theta^*,\beta).$$

$$g(\theta^*, \beta) \propto 1 + F \cos^2 \theta^* + F \sin^2 \theta^* \cos 2\beta$$

$$g(\theta^*) \propto 1 + F \cos^2 \theta^*$$

EP Resolution and Acceptance Correction

• Since we do not know the reaction plane and can only calculate the event plane with a finite resolution, we must change coordinates to a primed frame for our calculation in which,

$$\Psi' = \Psi + \Delta.$$

• We can extract ρ_{00} from the the updated function where F is set by simulation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN}{d\cos\theta'^*} \end{bmatrix}_{|\eta|} \propto (1 + \frac{B'F}{2}) + (A' + F)\cos^2\theta'^* + (A'F - \frac{B'F}{2})\cos^4\theta'^*,$$

$$A' = \frac{A(1+5R)}{4+A(1-R)} \qquad A = \frac{3\rho_{00}-1}{1-\rho_{00}}.$$
$$B' = \frac{A(1-R)}{4+A(1-R)}$$

Phys. Rev. C **98**, 044907

EP Resolution and Acceptance Correction

• To ensure ρ_{00} with respect to the 2nd order EP is consistent with ρ_{00} with respect to the 1st order EP one must use the 2nd order EP "resolution" with respect to the reaction plane that the 1st order EP is perturbing around.

$$R_{21} = \langle \cos 2(\Psi_2 - \Psi_{r,1}) \rangle$$

• R_{21} can be found by using the following relation.

$$D_{12} \equiv \langle \cos 2(\Psi_1 - \Psi_2) \rangle$$

= $\langle \cos 2(\Psi_1 - \Psi_{r,1} + \Psi_{r,1} - \Psi_2) \rangle$
 $\approx \langle \cos 2(\Psi_1 - \Psi_{r,1}) \rangle \langle \cos 2(\Psi_{r,1} - \Psi_2) \rangle$
= $R_1 \cdot R_{21}$.

• Since we are using the 2nd order **sub-event** plane for our ρ_{00} calculations, we must use R_{21}^{Sub} instead.

$$R_{21}^{Sub} = R_{21}/\sqrt{2}$$

Acceptance Correction QA



- Input a ρ_{00} value to acceptance simulation.
- Calculate output ρ_{00} from yield vs $\cos(\theta^*)$ distribution.
- Calculate acceptance parameter, F, from yield ratio (after η cuts / before η cuts) vs cos(θ*)
- Apply F in acceptance fit function and extract ρ_{00} .
- Input ρ_{00} and acceptance corrected ρ_{00} match!

ϕ meson $\rho_{00}\left(p_{T}\right)$ 3D random EP



- Randomize EP in 3D and calculate cos(θ*).
- Results in random uniform distribution for yield vs $\cos(\theta^*)$.
- Results are consistent with $\rho_{00}=1/3$ as expected.

Signal Reconstruction



Signal Reconstruction

