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## Introduction to Spin Alignment

Preferential alignment of a particle's spin with respect to the large orbital angular momentum produced in heavy-ion collisions.
$\rho_{00}: 00^{\text {th }}$ element of the spin density matrix.
$\theta^{*}$ : angle between $\mathrm{K}^{+}$daughter and polarization axis in parent's rest frame.
$\rho_{00}$ is found by fitting the parent particle's yield $(N)$ vs $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right) .{ }^{1}$

$$
\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}}=N_{0} \times\left[\left(1-\rho_{00}\right)+\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]
$$



STAR Collaboration. Nature 614, 244248 (2023)
$\rho_{00} \neq 1 / 3$ indicates spin alignment.

## ф BES-II Analysis

Gavin Wilks (gwilks3@uic.edu, University of Illinois at Chicago)
For more details see slides 78-83

## Motivation



- For the first time, a large positive global spin alignment ( $\rho_{00}>1 / 3$ ) for $\varphi$-meson was measured at mid-central collisions.
- We have significantly more statistics in BES-II for the lower energies < $=19.6 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Differential studies to help guide theory.


## Dataset and Cuts

| System | Trigger IDs |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 14.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ BES-II (2019) | 650000 (minbias) |
| $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 19.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ BES-II (2019) | $640001,640011,640021,640031,640041,640051$ (all minbias) |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Event Level Cuts } \\
& \left|\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}\right|<70 \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \left|\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|<2 \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{nBToFMatch}>2 \\
& \hline \text { TPC Track Cuts for } \mathrm{K}^{+/-,}, \pi^{+/-} \\
& \hline \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}>0.1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& |\mathrm{p}|<10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& \mid \text { DCA } \mid<2 \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { No. TPC hits }>15 \\
& \text { TPC hit ratio }>0.52 \\
& |\eta|<1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

## PID Cuts for $\varphi$-meson $K^{+/-}$

 TPC: $\left|n \sigma_{K}\right|<2.5$\&\& TOF: $0.16<\mathrm{M}^{2}<0.36$

## Analysis Procedure

## Calculating $\rho_{00}$ from angular distribution of decay daughters:

- Total $\varphi$ meson yield calculated for each $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$ bin.
- Correct yields for TPC tracking x ToF matching efficiency. Simulate $\varphi$ decay in Pythia6 and apply efficiency to decay daughters to find efficiency vs. $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$.
- Finite $\eta$ acceptance correction calculated through $\operatorname{simulated~} \varphi$ decay in Pythia6.
- $\eta$ acceptance correction and event plane resolution correction applied by fitting efficiency corrected $\rho_{00}{ }^{\text {obs }}$ using the function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime}}\right]_{|\eta|} \propto 2+} & F^{*}-\frac{B^{\prime} F^{*}}{2}+\frac{3 G^{*}}{4}-\frac{B^{\prime} G^{*}}{2} \\
& +\left[2 A^{\prime}-F^{*}\left(1-A^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)-G^{*}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{4}-\frac{3 B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime} \\
& +\left[-F^{*}\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)+G^{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{3 B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{4} \theta^{* \prime} \\
& +\left[G^{*}\left(\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{4}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{6} \theta^{* \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Event mixing is used to produce $\varphi$-meson background.

Normalize mixed event background to signal+background and subtract background

Fit signal histogram with Breit Wigner $+3^{\text {rd }}$ order polynomial

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{A F}{\left(m-m_{\phi}\right)+(\Gamma / 2)^{2}}+\text { poly } 3
$$

Yields are extracted by histogram integration.

## $\phi$ meson $\rho_{00}\left(p_{T}\right)$



Mid-central Au+Au collisions (20-60\%)
BES-II Yield weighted average over $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(1.2-4.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})$ $\rho_{00}{ }^{\prime \prime}=0.3503 \pm 0.0025$ (stat.) $\pm 0.0013$ (sys.)
$\rho_{00}{ }^{\prime \prime}>1 / 3$ with $6.12 \sigma$

BES-I Yield weighted average over $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(1.2-4.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})$

```
\rho
\rho00}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}>1/3 with 2.44\sigma
\rho}00|~~\mp@subsup{\rho}{00}{\prime
```


## $\phi$ meson $\rho_{00}$ (Centrality)



Centrality (\%)

- $1.0<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<5.0$
- Value for each centrality calculated in $3 p_{T}$ bins with edges: \{1.0,1.6,2.4,5.0\}
- Then integrated over these bins.
- 0-80\%, $1.0<\left|p_{T}\right|<5.0$
- $\rho_{00}{ }^{\prime \prime}=0.3491 \pm 0.0019$ (stat.) $\pm 0.0012$ (sys.)
- 20-60\%, $1.0<\left|p_{T}\right|<5.0$
- $\rho_{00}{ }^{\prime \prime}=0.3519 \pm 0.0021$ (stat.) $\pm 0.0012$ (sys.)
- consistent with $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependent study, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ranges differ slightly.


## $\phi$ meson $\rho_{00}(y$, rapidity)



- $1.0<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<5.0$
- Value for each centrality calculated in $2 p_{T}$ bins with edges: $\{1.0,2.0,5.0\}$
- Also binned in centrality: \{0-10\%,10-40\%,40-80\%\}
- Then integrated over these bins.
- 0-80\%, $1.0<\left|p_{T}\right|<5.0$
- $\rho_{00}{ }^{\prime \prime}=0.3647 \pm 0.0038$ (stat.) $\pm 0.0012$ (sys.)
- Not consistent with integrated minbias result from centrality study.
- We are currently investigated the acceptance correction for the rapidity dependent $\rho_{00}$.


## Systematics

| Systematic Source | Central Value | Variations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dca | $<2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $<2.0 \mathrm{~cm},<2.5 \mathrm{~cm},<3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $\left\|\mathrm{no}_{\mathrm{K}}\right\|$ | $<2.5$ | $<2.0,<2.5,<3.0$ |
| Background normalization range | $[1.04,1.05]$ | $[0.99,1.0],[1.04,1.05]$, average of both |
| Yield extraction method | Breit-Wigner integration | Bin counting and Breit-Wigner integration |
| Yield extraction range | $<2.0 \sigma$ | $<2.0 \sigma,<2.5 \sigma,<3.0 \sigma$ |

- We want to add varying input for TPC efficiency for each $\eta$ bin or each $\eta \& \varphi$ bin later.
- Vary fit method for ToF matching efficiency
- Default "Fit to plateau": shape set by $\eta$ bin integrated over $\varphi$, normalization set by plateau in each $\eta \& \varphi$ bin.
- Variation "Fit to $\eta$ ": shape and normalization set by $\eta$ bin integrated over $\varphi$.



## Uncorrected 14.6 GeV Results





## Roadmap to QM2023

- Need official centrality, bad runs, embedding, etc for 7.7 GeV BES-II.
- Need to produce $\phi$ meson spectra for 14.6 and 7.7 GeV (used in efficiency and acceptance correction simulations).
- $v_{2}$ is also needed and is near completion.
- 19.6 GeV will be finished once we address issues with rapidity dependence (seems to be an acceptance correction issue).
- $\rho_{00}$ with respect to first order event plane will also be studied.
- All inputs to simulation should be identical, so this analysis will not require much more work.


# $\phi$ and $\omega$ Leptonic Channel Isobar Analysis 

Zaining Wang (19300200034@fudan.edu.cn, Fudan University)

For more detail see slides 64-77

## Motivation



STAR, Nature, 614, 244 (2023)
strong force field s-sbar? depends on flavor? how about the light quarks, such as $\omega$
$\boldsymbol{\phi}$ meson, kaon spin 0 , electron spin $1 / 2$, depends on daughter spin?
$\omega$ comprises light quarks similar to $\pi$, $k$, but larger mass ( 782 MeV ), hadronization

## Event selection

| Collisions | Trigger | $V_{z}$ | $V_{r}$ | $\left\|v p d V_{z}-V_{z}\right\|$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run18 $\mathrm{Zr}+\mathrm{Zr}$ | MinBias | $-35,25 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $<2 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $<3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Run18 Ru+Ru | MinBias | $-35,25 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $<2 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $<3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |






Bad run and centrality from the official isobar blinded analysis

## Track selection

| $p_{T}$ | $0.2-2.0 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| dca | $<3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| nHitsFit | $>15$ |
| $\eta$ | within $\pm 1$ |






## Di-electron signal



$>$ clear $\omega$ and $\phi$ meson signal

## $\cos \theta^{*}\left(\varphi \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$in Isobar



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{00}\left(\phi \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right) \text {in Isobar } \\
& \frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}} \propto\left(1+\rho_{00}\right)+\left(1-3 \rho_{00}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Without efficiency and acceptance corrections


## $\cos \theta^{*}\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$in Isobar



## $\rho_{00}\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$in Isobar(Zr+Zr\&Ru+Ru)

$$
\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}} \propto\left(1+\rho_{00}\right)+\left(1-3 \rho_{00}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}
$$



## Summary of $\rho_{00}$ of $\phi, \omega$ without the correction of detector effects and event plane resolution


$>$ Results of $\rho_{00}$ of $\phi, \omega$ need futher work of corrections

## Summary and outlook

$>$ Preliminary study $\rho_{00}$ (obs) of phi omega(e+e- channel) in isobar are shown.
$>$ Efficiency and acceptance correction for $\rho_{00}$ will be studied.
$>10$ times statistics from 2023 and 2025 will improve the precision.

## $\rho^{0}$ Analysis

Baoshan Xi (xibaoshan@sinap.ac.cn, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics) For more detail see slides 53-63

## Research motivation



Fig. 9. (color online) $r_{\text {rest }}$ and $R_{B}$ as a function of resonance $\rho_{00}$ for various transverse spectra. Choices of spectra are the same as in Fig. 7.

Global spin alignment of $\rho^{0}$ meson can contribute to background in CME observables, similar to resonance $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ effect.
( $\rho_{00}>1 / 3$ ) will enhance apparent values of CME observables. ( $\rho_{00}<1 / 3$ ) will decrease apparent values of CME observables.

To assess its effect in CME observables, it would be desirable to study $\rho^{0}$ meson $\rho_{00}$.
A. H. Tang 2020 Chinese Phys. C 44054101

## Research motivation

Global spin alignment of $\rho$ mesons is a crucial component in the background estimation for the CME measurements involving pions.


$$
\operatorname{Sign}\left(S_{\text {concavity }}\right)=\operatorname{Sign}\left[-\frac{N_{\rho}}{2 N_{+} N-}\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right)\right]
$$




Figure 2: Toy model simulations of the $\pi-\pi \Delta \gamma_{112}$ correlation as a function of $\rho$-meson $\rho_{00}$ with various inputs of $v_{2}^{\rho}$. Linear fits are applied to guide eyes.

Figure 4: (Left) toy model simulations of the $R_{\Psi_{2}}\left(\Delta S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ distribution for events with zero $v_{2}^{\rho}$ and different $\rho_{00}$ inputs. The distributions are symmetrized around $\Delta S^{\prime \prime}=0$. (Right) $S_{\text {concavity }} / \sigma_{R}^{2}$ extracted using Gaussian fits to $R_{\Psi_{2}}\left(\Delta S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for different $v_{2}^{\rho}$ and $\rho_{00}$ inputs. A positive value indicates the convex shape, and a negative one represents the concave shape.

## Datasets and cuts of Run 11

Minimum Bias Event of AuAu 200GeV from 2011 (~500 M before event cuts. $\sim 300 \mathrm{M}$ after event cuts)

```
Event cuts:
    -30.0 cm < Vz < 30.0 cm
    Vr<2.0 cm
-3.0 cm < Vz-VzVPD < 3.0 cm
Number ToF matched point > 3
Bad runs are rejected by StRefMultCorr and abnormal data
```

Track cuts:
nHitsFit > 15
nHitsFit/nHitsMax > 0.55
|nSigmaPion $\mid<1.5$
$-0.8<$ eta $<0.8$
dca $<2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
$p_{T}>0.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ \& \& $\mathrm{p}<10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
$-0.1<$ mass2 < 0.2

Datasets and cuts of isobar (Referring to those used in UCLA CME blind analysis)
Minimum Bias Event of isobar ( For RuRu: ~2000 M before event cuts. ~1600M after event cuts. For ZrZr: $\sim 2200 \mathrm{M}$ before event cuts. $\sim 1800 \mathrm{M}$ after event cuts.)
Event cuts:
$-35 \mathrm{~cm}<\mathrm{Vz}<25 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\mathrm{Vr}<2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
$-3.0 \mathrm{~cm}<\mathrm{Vz-VzVPD}<3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
Number ToF matched point > 3
Bad runs are rejected by StRefMultCorr

Track cuts:
nHitsFit > 15
nHitsFit/nHitsMax > 0.55
|nSigmaPion| $<1.5$
$-0.8<$ eta < 0.8
dca $<2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
$p_{T}>0.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ \& \& $\mathrm{p}<10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
$-0.1<$ mass $2<0.2$

## Invariant mass and residual background

- We first subtract the rotated background.
- The normalization is taken at the place where the invariant mass has its lowest value after the first step.
- After that we use a second order polynomial to take care of residual background.


## Obtaining yields of $\rho^{0}$ meson

## We fit with contributions from 7 particles (hadronic cocktail) :

$\omega, \rho^{0}, f_{0}, f_{2}, \sigma^{0}, k_{s}^{0}, \eta$.
The fitting range is $0.4-1.6 \mathrm{GeV}$.
The general principle is to stick to pdg values in peripheral collisions, where the environment is not dense (less multiple scattering) and mass and width can be regarded as close-to-vacuum value. At each $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ interval, we fit the mass and width of the particles from peripheral collisions, then apply these parameters to the central collision case.
$\mathrm{F}\left(\rho^{0}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{f}_{0}, \mathrm{f}_{2}, \sigma^{0}\right)=\operatorname{PS}(\mathrm{M}) \times \operatorname{BW}(\mathrm{M})$
$\operatorname{PS}\left(M_{\pi \pi}\right)=\frac{M_{\pi \pi}}{\sqrt{M_{\pi \pi}^{2}+p_{T}^{2}}} \times \exp \left(-\sqrt{M_{\pi \pi}^{2}+p_{T}^{2}} / T\right)$
$B W\left(M_{\pi \pi}\right)=\frac{A M_{\pi \pi} M_{0} \Gamma\left(M_{\pi \pi}\right)}{\left[\left(M_{0}{ }^{2}-M_{\pi \pi}\right)^{2}+M_{0}{ }^{2} \Gamma^{2}\left(M_{\pi \pi}\right)\right]}$
J. Adams, et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 092301 (2004).

Prabhat R. Pujahari (for the STAR collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 862, 297 (2011).
$\Gamma\left(M_{\pi \pi}\right)=\left[\frac{\left(M_{\pi \pi}^{2}-4 m_{\pi}^{2}\right)}{\left(M_{0}^{2}-4 m_{\pi}^{2}\right)}\right]^{(2 J+1) / 2} \times \Gamma_{0} \times\left(M_{0} / M_{\pi \pi}\right)$

We do an overall fitting in each $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and centrality bin with the constrain of parameters is:
$\rho^{0}$ : mass: 0.7-0.8
$f_{0}$ : mass: 0.98 (fixed)
$\sigma^{0}$ : mass: 0.4-0.8
$k_{s}^{0}$ : Gaussian function with mass and width as free parameters.
$\omega, \eta$ : with function shapes obtained from hijing simulation.
$f_{2}$ : mass 1.275 width 0.185

## Then for each $\cos \theta^{*}$ bin:

On the basis of the overall fitting, we fix the mass and width of $\sigma^{0}$ and $k_{s}^{0}$, and fix the width of $\rho^{0}$ and $f_{0}$.

Through cocktail fitting, the yield of $\rho^{0}$ can be obtained.
J. Adams, et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 092301 (2004).


Here we compare the mass of the $\rho^{0}$ meson obtained by fitting with the previous results of STAR and find that they are consistent.


The yield of $\rho^{0}$ meson is obtained by cocktail fitting and the distribution has been corrected for efficiency.


Run 11
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ : 2.4-3.0 GeV/c centrality : 40-60\%

## $\rho^{0}$ efficiency of Run 11

Then according to the TPC and TOF efficiency of pion's, the distribution of $\rho^{0}$ efficiency with respect to $\cos \theta^{*}$ in each $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ bin is obtained.


## Acceptance and EP resolution

## Corrections for finite EP resolution, efficiency, and acceptance

i) $\phi$-meson $\rho_{00}$ analysis Detector efficiency within the acceptance is corrected using the STAR Monte Carlo embedding method ${ }^{8-10}$. To account for finite EP resolution and finite acceptance in pseudo-rapidity $(\eta)^{11}$, the observed $\cos \theta^{*}$ distribution is not fitted using Eq. 1 in the main text, but is instead described by the correction procedure derived in Ref. ${ }^{7}$ wherein the data are fitted using

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}}\right]_{|\eta|} } & \propto\left(1+\frac{B^{\prime} F}{2}\right)+\left(A^{\prime}+F\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}  \tag{1}\\
& +\left(A^{\prime} F-\frac{B^{\prime} F}{2}\right) \cos ^{4} \theta^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\frac{A(1+3 R)}{4+A(1-R)}, \quad B^{\prime}=\frac{A(1-R)}{4+A(1-R)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{3 \rho_{00}-1}{1-\rho_{00}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We follow the same procedure used in $\varphi$ global spin alignment.


## $\rho_{00}$ as a function of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ of Run 11 AuAu



## $\rho_{00}$ as a function of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ of isobar

Since there is no embedding data of isobar at present, there is no efficiency correction here, and we will do the efficiency correction when the embedding data is available.


## Systematic to be studied

- $n \sigma_{\pi}: 1.0,1.5,2.0$
- dca : 1.5, 2.0, 2.5
- Normalization factor (small medium large)
- Residual background subtraction
- Fitting procedure (The fixed value of the width of $\rho^{0}$ and $f_{0}$ is obtained by overall fitting, $\rho^{0}$ width fixed in 0.16 or PDG value , $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ width fixed in 0.75 or 0.1 , $\rho^{0}$ mass fixed from overall fitting)
- Count and integration range: $1.5^{*} \Gamma, 1.0^{*} \Gamma, 0.5^{*} \Gamma$
- Yield extraction (bin counting, integration)

Bin counting are used in all parts(as in the analysis of $\phi$ ).

## Summary and to-do-list

- We have studied $\rho^{0}$ meson global spin alignment with data of 2011 and isobar. Our preliminary study indicates that $\rho_{00}$ is smaller than $1 / 3$.
- A smaller than $1 / 3 \rho_{00}$ means negative contribution to most CME observables, that is, in opposite direction to most flow contributions. This means that our current CME fraction at 200 GeV is under stated.
- Good news for plain CME analyses, but complicates CME analyses that rely on ratios (Ru/Zr, PP/SP).
- We will work on systematic uncertainty.


# J/ $\psi$ Isobar Analysis 

Dandan Shen (shendandan@mail.sdu.edu.cn, Shandong University),
For more detail see slides 84-92

## Motivation



Clear energy dependence
Mainly from coalescence of two s quarks

$J / \psi$ at LHC energy:

- Significant coalescence contribution at low-pT range
- Spin alignment signal up to $60 \%$ Centrality
(Spin-orbital coupling or strong magnetic field? Or et. Al)


## What is the case at RHIC energy?

- Strong coupling between $J / \psi$ and QGP
- Limited $\mathrm{V}_{2}$


## Data Set and cuts

- Data: Isobar $\left({ }_{40}^{96} Z r+{ }_{40}^{96} Z r,{ }_{44}^{96} R u+{ }_{44}^{96} R u\right) \approx 3.5$ Billions
- Trigger:

VPDMB-30 (600001, 600011, 600021 and 600031)

- Electron identification:

- Track quality cuts

TPC: $0.2<p_{T}<30 \mathrm{GeV} / c \quad|\eta|<1.0$

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
n \text { HitsFit } \geq 20 & \frac{n \text { HitsFit }}{n \text { HitsPoss }} \geq 0.52 \\
n H \text { itsDed } x \geq 15 & \text { dca }<1.0 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$

- Vertex selection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|V_{x}\right| \geq 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{y}\right| \geq 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{z}\right| \geq 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \left|V_{r}\right|<2 \mathrm{~cm},-35<V_{z}<25 \mathrm{~cm},\left|V_{T P C z}-V_{V P D z}\right|<3 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Yield extraction


$\longrightarrow$ Mix-event UL pairs
$\longrightarrow-e^{ \pm} e^{\mp}-e^{ \pm} e_{\text {mix }}^{\mp}$
$\triangle$ signal

-     -         - Residual background

Yield extraction details can be found in:
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/Mass_dist_PT_Buff2.pdf https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/Mass_dist_Cent_Buff2.pdf

Background estimation:
Combinational: Mix-event UL, normalization range (3.3-3.6 GeV/c2)
Residual : Exp. function, fitting range [2.6, 2.7] \&\& [3.3, 3.6]
$J / \psi$ raw yield :
Bin counting method: [3.0, 3.2] GeV/c2

## Yield vs. $\cos \left(\theta^{\star}\right)$

## Fitting function:

$$
\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}}=C \times\left[\left(1+\rho_{00}^{o b s}\right)+\left(1-3 \rho_{00}^{o b s}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]
$$

Without efficiency and acceptance correction


Very limited daughter $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ unbalance effect due to large mass of $J / \psi$ up to $6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$

## Yield in different pT range



Significant spin alignment signal in both 0-20\% and 20-60\% centrality .

## $\rho_{00}^{o b s}$ vs pT



$$
\rho_{00}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{1+3 R}\left(\rho_{00}^{o b s}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
$$

PRC 98, 044907 (2018)

Significant spin alignment signal with no pT dependence?

## $\rho_{00}^{o b s}$ vs Centrality




Signal flip over centrality?
The mean pT is different in different centrality

## J/ $\psi$ Efficiency

$$
\cos \theta^{*} \begin{cases}e^{+} & \left(\mathrm{r} c_{p t}, \eta, \phi, m_{e}\right) \\ \mathrm{J} / \psi\left(m c_{p t}, \eta, \phi, m_{J / \psi}\right)\end{cases}
$$



[^0]J/ $\psi$ efficiency: $\epsilon_{J / \psi}=\epsilon_{e^{+}} \times \epsilon_{e^{-}}$


## Summary and next to do

## - Summary

- Very clear spin alignment signals but more check is needed
- Use the EPD event plane and ZDC event plane for non-flow correlations check
- We obtain single electron efficiency.
- Next to do

We will do systematic uncertainties estimation.

## Roadmap to QM2023 for all analyses

- $\phi$ BES-II:
- 19.6 GeV centrality and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ analyses are near completion, working on rapidity dependence.
- Raw results for 14.6 GeV presented.
- Working on corrections for 14.6 GeV and 19.6 GeV .
- Need official centrality definitions for 7.7 GeV , also embedding.
- $\phi$ and $\omega$ (Isobar):
- Raw $\rho_{00}$ for $\phi$ and $\omega$ were presented.
- Studying efficiency and acceptance effects.
- $\rho^{0}$ (Au+Au Run 11 + Isobar)
- Run $11 p_{T}$ dependent analysis is in final stages.
- Waiting for isobar embedding data for efficiency corrections.
- Systematic uncertainty calculations.
- J/ $\Psi$ (Isobar)
- Efficiency and acceptance corrections are determined.
- Use the EPD and ZDC event planes for non-flow correlations check.
- Systematic uncertainty calculations.


## Backup Slides $\rho^{0}$

# Global spin alignment of $\rho^{0}$ meson 

Baoshan Xi

06-26-2023

In collaboration with: Chengsheng Zhou, Yugang Ma, Jinhui Chen, Xu Sun, Aihong Tang

## Analysis goals

## - System

Run11: AuAu, Isobar: RuRu \& ZrZr

## - Analysis

Dependence of $\rho_{00}$ on pt and centrality

## - Status:

Default value of R11 AuAu data with all correction
Default value of Isobar data with no efficiency correction

- Requirements:

Efficiency of $\rho^{0}$ of isobar data
System err of Run11 AuAu and Isobar

- Previous reports on collaboration meeting and fcv meeting
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/2021_03_revisedV01.pdf
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/2022_04_25_0.pdf
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/2022_09_14.pdf
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/fcv_2023_02_08.pdf
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/collaboration_2023_03_02.pdf


## - Roadmap to QM:

We don't have data production, centrality, and special embedding requests.
After all corrections, $\rho_{00}$ and its system error will be expected to be finished before QM2023.

We reported preliminary results for 2011 data and isobar. Since then, we have improved our cocktail fitting procedure to better constrain the fitting in (mid)central collisions. Here we report the final $\rho_{00}$ value after all corrections of 2011 data, and results with no efficiency correction of isobar data.

## Motivation



Vector mesons may possess global spin alignment, which can be probed by the study of daughter's angle distribution w.r. to the quantization axis in parent's rest frames.
Z. T. Liang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett., 94: 102301(2005)
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Z. T. Liang, J. Phys. G, 34: S323 (2007)
B. Betz, M. Gyulassy, and G. Torrieri, Phys. Rev. C, 76: 044901 (2007) 40
J. H. Gao, S. W. Chen, W. T. Deng et al., Phys. Rev. C, 77: 044902 (2008) 41
F. Becattini, L. P. Csernai, and D. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. C, 88: no. 3, 034905(2013).
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Global spin alignment has been measured by STAR, they show supporting evidence of the influence of strong force field, the paper has been published in Nature.

Nature 614, 244 (2023)

## Particle identification



## Second order event plane

The second event plane is obtained from TPC (for 200 GeV data) and flattened by recentering and shifting (performed every 10 runs).

After the recenter corrected, we get the recenter factors:

$$
Q_{x}=\sum W \cdot p_{T} \cdot \cos 2 \phi \quad Q_{y}=\sum W \cdot p_{T} \cdot \sin 2 \phi
$$

Then we do the shift

$$
2 \Psi_{2}=2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2}{i}\left[-\left\langle\sin \left(i 2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cos \left(i 2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left\langle\cos \left(i 2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \sin \left(i 2 \Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$



## Spin alignment

Spin alignment can be determined from the angular distribution of the decay products: $\frac{d N}{d\left(\cos \theta^{*}\right)}=N_{0} \times\left[\left(1-\rho_{00}\right)+\left(3 \rho_{00}-1\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]$
where $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ is the normalization and $\theta^{*}$ is the angle between the polarization direction $L$ and the momentum direction of a daughter particle in the rest frame of the parent vector meson.

A deviation of $\rho_{00}$ from $1 / 3$ signals net spin alignment.

Phys.Lett.B629,20-26(2005)

$$
\rho_{00}>1 / 3:
$$



The constrain of parameters:
$\rho^{0}$ : mass: 0.7-0.8 [1]
$f_{0}$ : mass: 0.98[2]
$\sigma^{0}$ : mass: 0.4-0.8[2]
$k_{s}^{0}$ : Gaussian function with mass and width as free parameters [4].
$f_{2}$ : mass 1.275 width 0.185 [3]
$\omega$ and $\eta$ : Its function shape comes from Hijing event generator [3].

The results of all cacktail fitting of $\rho^{0}$ are located at: https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/system/files/cacktail_fitting_rho_20230207.pdf
[1] J. Adams, et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 092301 (2004).
[2] https://pdg.lbl.gov/2020/listings/rpp2020-list-rho-770.pdf
[3] Xiangli Cui, Thesis proceedings.
[4] B. I. Abelev, et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 78, 044906 (2008).

## Pion TPC and TOF efficiency of Run $11\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}>0.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}\right)$

We obtain the TPC tracking efficiency of pion from embedding data, and TOF efficiency is obtained from TPC track to TOF hit matching based on real data.



## The spectrum and $v_{2}$ of $\rho^{0}$ used as a input in the Pythia6.


J. Adams, et al. (STAR Collaboration),

Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 092301 (2004).


Prabhat R. Pujahari (for the STAR collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 862, 297 (2011).

# Backup Slides <br> leptonic $\omega$ and $\varphi$ 

## Analysis methods

$>$ Particle production in heavy ion collisions with respect to the reaction plane

$$
W(\theta) \propto \frac{3}{8}\left[\left(1+\rho_{00}\right)+\left(1-3 \rho_{00}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]
$$

## Electron Identification



## Event plane reconstruction


A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin, PRC.58.3(1998)
$>$ The 2nd-order event plane was reconstructed with a conventional method using charged tracks in the TPC with 0.2 $<\mathrm{pT}<5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ and $|\mathrm{n}|<1$

## Resolution



$$
\left\langle\operatorname{coskm}\left(\Psi_{m}-\Psi_{r}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \chi_{m} \exp \left(-\chi_{m}^{2} / 4\right)\left[I_{(k-1) / 2}\left(\chi_{m}^{2} / 4\right)+I_{(k+1) / 2}\left(\chi_{m}^{2} / 4\right)\right]
$$

$>$ modified Bessel function used to calculate the resolution
> random-sub event are used to calculate the resolution for full TPC event-plane

## Event plane reconstruction A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin, PRC. 58.3(1998)



Detector non-uniform acceptance
Re-center + shift method are used to flatten the event-plane

## $\rho_{00}$ analysis


$\frac{d N}{d\left(\phi-\Psi_{2}\right)} \propto 1+2 v_{2} \cos \left[2\left(\phi-\Psi_{2}\right)\right]$

The daughter angular distribution in the parent's rest frame with respect to reaction plane.

## $v_{2}$ of $\varphi\left(\varphi \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right.$channel $)$


$>$ Event-plane method, signal in different $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\phi}=\phi-\psi_{2}$ bins.

## $\boldsymbol{v}_{2}$ of $\varphi\left(\varphi \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right.$channel $)$



Fitting of $(\phi-\Psi)$ with $\frac{d N}{d(\phi-\Psi)} \propto 1+2 v_{2} \cos (\phi-\Psi)$ and correct for event plane resolution

## $v_{2}$ of $\omega\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right.$channel $)$



Mass fit of the products in different $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ and different azimuth angles compared to reaction plane $\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$
$v_{2}$ of $\omega\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right.$channel $)$


Fitting of $(\phi-\Psi)$ with $\frac{d N}{d(\phi-\Psi)} \propto 1+2 v_{2} \cos (\phi-\Psi)$ and correct for event plane resolution

## $v_{2}$ of $\varphi, \omega$ in collision Isobar(Zr+Zr\&Ru+Ru)



## $\cos \theta^{*}\left(\varphi \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$in Isobar



## $\cos \theta^{*}\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)$in Isobar



# Backup Slides hadronic decay $\varphi$ 



## Efficiency vs. $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$



- Use Pythia6 to decay $\phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$
- MC $\varphi$ input flat in rapidity, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\phi$.
- Drop tracks using TPC tracking and ToF matching efficiency of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{-}$in each $\eta$ \& $\phi$ bin.
- If both kaons pass efficiency cuts, reconstruct $\varphi$ meson.
- Fill histogram for RC and MC counts in each $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$ bin.


## Deriving $4^{\text {th }}$ Order Acceptance Correction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*} d \beta}\right]_{|\eta|}=\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*} d \beta} \times g\left(\theta^{*}, \beta\right) .} \\
& g\left(\theta^{*}, \beta\right)=1+F^{*} \cos ^{2} \theta+G^{*} \cos ^{4} \theta \\
& =1+\left(\frac{4 F^{*}+3 G^{*}}{8}\right)-\left(\frac{2 F^{*}+3 G^{*}}{4}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+\frac{3 G^{*}}{8} \cos ^{4} \theta^{*} \\
& -\frac{\cos 2 \beta}{2}\left[F^{*}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right)+G^{*}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+\cos ^{4} \theta^{*}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{G^{*} \cos 4 \beta}{8}\left[1-\cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+\cos ^{4} \theta^{*}\right], \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \beta g\left(\theta^{*}, \beta\right)=g\left(\theta^{*}\right) \propto 1+\left(\frac{4 F^{*}+3 G^{*}}{8}\right)-\left(\frac{2 F^{*}+3 G^{*}}{4}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}+\frac{3 G^{*}}{8} \cos ^{4} \theta^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Deriving $4^{\text {th }}$ Order Acceptance Correction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime} d \beta^{\prime}} \propto 1+A^{\prime} \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime}+B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta^{* \prime} \cos 2 \beta^{\prime}+C^{\prime} \sin 2 \theta^{* \prime} \cos \beta^{\prime} \\
& \begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime}}\right]_{|\eta|} \propto 2+} & F^{*}-\frac{B^{\prime} F^{*}}{2}+\frac{3 G^{*}}{4}-\frac{B^{\prime} G^{*}}{2} \\
& +\left[2 A^{\prime}-F^{*}\left(1-A^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)-G^{*}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{4}-\frac{3 B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime} \\
& +\left[-F^{*}\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)+G^{*}\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{3 B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{4} \theta^{* \prime}
\end{aligned} \\
& +\left[G^{*}\left(\frac{3 A^{\prime}}{4}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{6} \theta^{* \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Deriving $4^{\text {th }}$ Order Acceptance Correction

Now let's set $G=0$ and $F^{*}=\frac{-2 F}{1+F}$ to recover form of equation from PHYSICAL REVIEW C 98, 044907 (2018)
$\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime} d \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{|\eta|} \propto 2+\frac{-2 F}{1+F}\left(1-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)+\left[2 A^{\prime}-\frac{-2 F}{1+F}\left(1-A^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)\right] \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime}+\left[-\frac{-2 F}{1+F}\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{4} \theta^{* \prime}$.
Pull out constant factor $2 /(1+F)$.
$\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime} d \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{|\eta|} \propto 1+F-F\left(1-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)+\left[A^{\prime}(1+F)+F\left(1-A^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)\right] \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime}+\left[F\left(A^{\prime}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{4} \theta^{* \prime}$.
$\left[\frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{* \prime} d \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{|\eta|} \propto 1+\frac{B^{\prime} F}{2}+\left[A^{\prime}+F-B^{\prime} F\right] \cos ^{2} \theta^{* \prime}+\left[\left(A^{\prime} F+\frac{B^{\prime} F}{2}\right)\right] \cos ^{4} \theta^{* \prime}$.
$J / \psi$ Backup slides

## Analysis strategy

## Event level:

Vpdmb-30 (600001, 600011, 600021 and 600031)
$|\mathrm{Vr}|<2 \mathrm{~cm},-35<\mathrm{V} z<25 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $\left|V_{z}^{T P C}-V_{z}^{V P D}\right|<3 \mathrm{~cm}$
Bad run rejected, bad run index in backup slides

## Track quality cuts

| nHitsFit $\geq 20$ | $n$ HitsFit $/ n$ HitsPoss $\geq 0.52$ | $\|\eta\|<1.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nHitsDed $x \geq 15$ | $d c a<1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |

Electron identification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \leq 0.8 \quad 3 \times p-3 / 15<n \sigma_{e} \leq 2.0 \\
& |1-1 / \beta| \leq 0.025 \\
& p_{T} \leq 1 \\
& p_{T}>1 \\
& \text { Only TOF } \quad|1-1 / \beta| \leq 0.025 \\
& -1 . \leq n \sigma_{e} \leq 2.0 \\
& \text { Only BEMC } \quad 0.5 \leq E_{0} / p \leq 1.5 \\
& p>0.8 \quad-0.75 \leq n \sigma_{e} \leq 2.0 \\
& |1-1 / \beta| \leq 0.025 \\
& 0.5 \leq E_{0} / p \leq 1.5 \\
& |1-1 / \beta| \leq 0.025 \\
& -1.5 \leq n \sigma_{e} \leq 2.0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-0.75 \leq n \sigma_{e} \leq 2.0
$$

## TPC event-plane reconstruction

2nd order event plane reconstruction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.4<p_{T}<3 \mathrm{GeV} / c \\
& \text { nHitsFit }>15 \\
& \text { nHitsFit/nHitsPoss }>0.52 \\
& \text { dca }<1 \\
& -0.5<\eta<0.5
\end{aligned}
$$



Electron/positron that can be paired within the mass region of (2.8-3.25) has been excluded from event-plane reconstruction

Recentering:

- Correct azimuthally TPC non-uniform
- Corrected in each run, and centrality with $v z>0$ and $v z<0$ case respectively


## Shift:

To remove higher order harmonics contribution. Up to 10th oder in the analysis

## Yield in different pT range



Significant spin alignment signal up to $6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in $0-80 \%$ centrality range.

## pT integral yield at different centralify AR



Significant spin alignment signals. Very different trend over centrality

## Summary

- Very clear spin alignment signals but more check is needed
- Use EPD event plane and ZDC event plane for non-flow correlations check
- Does BEMC affect the signal? minimum energy deposition
- Corrections
- Efficiency and Acceptance correction
- Kinematics correction: positron at $J / \psi$ rest frame for and EP at Lab frame
- Event plane resolution (1st order and 2nd order ) correction is need to get final $\rho_{00}$


$$
\rho_{00}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{1+3 R_{21}}\left(\rho_{00}^{o b s-2 n d}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
$$

PRC 98, 044907 (2018)

## Single electron efficiency



We obtain the TPC tracking and BEMC efficiency of electrons from embedding data.

## TOF efficiency



The pure electron sample is from photon


$>$ We obtain the TOF efficiency of electrons from real data. Conversion (Mass $\leq 0.005 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ ).

## $\mathrm{n} \sigma_{E}$ efficiency





$>$ The pure electron sample is from photon Conversion (Mass $\leq 0.005 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ ).
$>$ We obtain the TOF tracking of electrons from real data.


[^0]:    $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ distribution

