# PWGC preview: Differential measurements of $\phi$-meson global spin alignment in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at RHIC 

Gavin Wilks (gwilks3@uic.edu)
University of Illinois at Chicago
10/11/2023
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- Paper title: Differential measurements of $\phi$-meson global spin alignment in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at RHIC
- PAs: Diyu Shen (Fudan), Xu Sun (IMP), Aihong Tang (BNL), Gavin Wilks (UIC), Zhenyu Ye (UIC)
- Targeted journal: Physical Review Letters
- Webpage:https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/blog/gwi lks3/Differential-Measurements-phi-meson-Global-Spin-Alignment-Paper-Proposal


## Motivation

STAR Collaboration, Nature 614 (2023) 7947.


Sheng et al., arXiv:2308.14038 [nucl-th].
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- Large positive global spin alignment $\left(\rho_{00}>1 / 3\right)$ for $\phi$-meson was measured for the first time at mid-central collisions.
- Increased statistics for new and identical energies from BES-II.
- Consistence with higher precision?
- Where does this large signal come from in the $\phi$-meson phase space?
- Can the leading theory predict the rapidity dependence?


## Analysis Information

- Dataset: Au+Au 19.6 GeV BES-II
- Year: 2019
- Production tag: production_19GeV_2019
- Triggers used: 640001, 640011, 640021, 640031, 640041, 640051
- Embedding request id: 20214203, 20214204
- Bad run list from StRefMultCorr
- Dataset: $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 14.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ BES-II
- Year: 2019
- Production tag: production_14p5GeV_2019
- Triggers used: 650000
- Embedding request id: 20221502, 20221503
- Bad run list from StRefMultCorr


## Analysis Information

Event Level Cuts

- $\left|\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}\right|<70 \mathrm{~cm}$
- $\left|\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|<2 \mathrm{~cm}$
- nBToFMatch $>2$
- Pile-up rejection cuts from StRefMultCorr

TPC Track Cuts for $\mathbf{K}^{+/}$

- $0.1<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
- $|\mathrm{DCA}|<2 \mathrm{~cm}$
- No. TPC hits > 15
- TPC hit ratio $>0.52$
- $|\boldsymbol{\eta}|<1$
- Centrality from StRefMultCorr


## EPD Event Plane Cuts ( ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Order)

- Use StEpdEpFinder
- $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ vs. $\eta$ weighting as described here:
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/b log/iupsal/determining-eta-
weights-epd-event-plane-analysis

TPC Event Plane Cuts ( ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Order)

- $0.15<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$
- $|\mathrm{DCA}|<1 \mathrm{~cm}$
- No. TPC hits > 15
- TPC hit ratio $>0.52$
- $|\boldsymbol{\eta}|<1$
- Sub-event plane method $(\eta$ gap $=0.1)$
- Apply run-by-run, centrality and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{z}}$ wise re-centering and shift calibrations


## Analysis Information

$Q_{n} \cos \left(n \Psi_{n}\right)=\sum_{i} w_{i} \cos \left(n \varphi_{i}\right) ; \quad Q_{n} \sin \left(n \Psi_{n}\right)=\sum_{i} w_{i} \sin \left(n \varphi_{i}\right)$

$$
\Psi_{n}=\left(\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sum_{i} w_{i} \sin \left(n \varphi_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i} w_{i} \cos \left(n \varphi_{i}\right)}\right) / n
$$

$n$ : harmonic order in anisotropic flow distribution
$i$ : $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ particle in event
$Q_{n}$ : flow vector
$\varphi_{i}$ : angle of particle trajectory in lab frame
$w_{i}$ : weight (determined by transverse momentum)

$$
\text { if } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}, w_{i}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} ; \quad \text { if } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \geq 2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}, w_{i}=2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\sqrt{\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{1}-\Psi_{1, r}\right)\right\rangle} \\
& R_{2}=\sqrt{\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{2}-\Psi_{2, r}\right)\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

See slide XX for information about deriving $R_{21}^{s u b}$.


## Technical Details

## Calculating $\rho_{00}$ from angular distribution of decay daughters:

- Total $\phi$ meson yield calculated for each $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$ bin.
- Correct yields for TPC tracking x ToF matching efficiency and acceptance.
- Simulate $\phi$ decay in Pythia6 and apply efficiency and acceptance cuts to decay daughters to find efficiency vs. $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$.
- Event plane resolution ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}_{21}{ }^{\text {sub }}$ ) correction applied with following formula:

$$
\rho_{00}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{1+3 R}\left(\rho_{00}^{o b s}-\frac{1}{3}\right)
$$

Extra information regarding rapidity dependent $\phi$-meson $\rho_{00}$ extraction and efficiency $x$ acceptance corrections:
https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/blog/gwilks3/Preliminary-Request-Details- $\phi$-meson-global-spin-alignment

## Technical Details



- Use Pythia6 to decay
- MC $\phi$ input flat in rapidity
- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ from spectra or interpolated
- $\varphi$ from $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ distribution.
- Drop tracks using TPC tracking and ToF matching efficiency of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{-}$in each $\eta \& \varphi$ bin.
- If both kaons pass efficiency cuts and $\eta$ acceptance cut, reconstruct $\phi$ meson.
- Smear EP according to known EP resolution in each centrality.
- Fill histogram for RC and MC counts in each $\cos \left(\theta^{*}\right)$ bin.


## Technical Details




- Event-mixing is used to subtract background and extract yields from histogram integration in seven $\left|\cos \theta^{*}\right|$ bins using Breit-Wigner + poly 3 residual background:
- $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{A F}{\left(m-m_{\phi}\right)+(\Gamma / 2)^{2}}+\operatorname{poly} 3(m)$
- Yields vs. $\left|\cos \theta^{*}\right|$ are corrected for the geometric acceptance and tracking/PID efficiencies from previous slide.
- $\rho_{00}^{o b s}$ is extracted from a fit to the corrected yields vs. $\left|\cos \theta^{*}\right|^{1}: \frac{d N}{d \cos \theta^{*}}=N_{0} \times\left[\left(1-\rho_{00}^{o b s}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\left(\rho_{00}^{o b s}-1\right) \cos ^{2} \theta^{*}\right]$


## Abstract

In this Letter, we report differential measurements of $\phi$-meson global spin alignment ( $\rho_{00}$ ) in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=14.6$ and 19.6 GeV in the second phase of the Beam Energy Scan at RHIC (BES-II) using the STAR detector. Following the STAR measurement of $\rho_{00}>1 / 3$ for the $\phi$-meson at $\sqrt{s_{N N}} \leq 62.4 \mathrm{GeV}$ [1], this study aims to clarify the source of this $\rho_{00}$ signal in the $\phi$-meson phase space using increased statistics available from BES-II. The first rapidity $(y)$ dependent $\rho_{00}$ results for $\phi$-mesons are shown for $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=14.6$ and 19.6 GeV , in addition to new centrality and transverse momentum $\left(p_{T}\right)$ dependent measurements. After developments of a theoretical model with a connection to strong force fields [2-6], predictions of the rapidity dependence at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=19.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ were calculated in [7] and are consistent with our measurements. Additionally, we extracted a single integrated $\rho_{00}$ for each collision energy, a new measurement at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=14.6 \mathrm{GeV}$, and we show consistency with the previous measurement at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=19.6 \mathrm{GeV}$. The results reported in this Letter help solidify our understanding of $\rho_{00}$ as a proxy measurement of vector meson fields, crucial components of the nuclear force.

> [1] STAR Collaboration. Nature 614, 244-248 (2023)
> [2] X.L. Sheng et al. PRD 101, 096005 (2020).
> [3] X.L. Sheng et al. PRD 105, 099903 (2022).
> [4] X.L. Sheng et al. PRD 102, 056013 (2020).
> [5] X.L. Sheng et al. arXiv:2206.05868 [hep-ph] (2023).
> [6] X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).
> [7] X.L. Sheng et al. arXiv:2308.14038 [nucl-th] (2023)

## systenatic Jnceptainties

Red marks the default value

- $n \sigma_{\pi}: 2.0,2.5,3.0$
- dca:2.0, 2.5, 3.0
- Background normalization range: [1.04, 1.05], [0.99, 1.0], average of both
- Yield extraction method: bin counting, integration
- Yield extraction range: $2.0 \sigma, 2.5 \sigma, 3.0 \sigma$
- Difference between negative and positive rapidity bins for rapidity dependent study. Default is statistical error weighted mean of positive and negative bin.



## Figure 1.

Collision energy (\$1sqrt\{s_\{NN\}\}\$) dependent $\$$ rrho_ $\{00\} \$$ for $20-60 \%$ centrality $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions using first (top) and second (bottom) order event planes. The BES-II results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. A fit to BES-I measurements between $\$ \mid \operatorname{sqrt}\left\{\mathrm{s} \_\{\mathrm{NN}\}\right\}=19.6$ to 200 GeV is shown as a solid black curve, based on theoretical model in [2-6]. $\$ \mathrm{G}^{\wedge}\{(\mathrm{y})\}_{-}\{\mathrm{s}\} \$$ is the fitted parameter and is displayed with uncertainty. The black dashed line represents $\$ \backslash$ rho $\_\{00\}=1 / 3 \$$.


## Figure 2.

Transverse momentum (\$p_\{T\}\$) dependent $\$ \backslash$ rho_ $\{00\} \$$ with respect to the first and second order event planes for $20-60 \%$ centrality $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at \$ 1 sqrt $\left\{\mathrm{s} \_\{\mathrm{NN}\}\right\}$ \} = 14.6 (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV . The first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The black dashed line represents $\$$ 'rho_ $\{00\}=1 / 3 \$$.


## Figure 3.

Centrality dependent $\$ \backslash$ rho_ $\{00\} \$$ with respect to the first and second order event planes for $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at \$\sqrt \{s_\{NN\}\}\$ = 14.6 (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV. The first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The black dashed line represents $\$$ 'rho_ $\{00\}=1 / 3 \$$.

Centrality (\%)


## Figure 4.

Rapidity (\$lvert y \vert\$) dependent \$ 1 rho_ $\{00\}$ \$ for 0-80\% centrality $\mathrm{Au}+$ Aucollisions at \$ $\backslash \mathrm{sqrt}\left\{\mathrm{s} \_\{\mathrm{NN}\}\right\} \$=14.6$ (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV . Results for $\$ \backslash$ rho_ $\{00\} \$$ calculated with respect to the first and second order event plane are shown. The first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The theoretical prediction from [7] for $20-60 \%$ centrality and $\$ p_{-}\{T\} \$=[1.2,5.4] \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ is shown as a dashed blue line with a shaded band representing its uncertainty. The black dashed line represents $\$$ rho_ $\{00\}=1 / 3 \$$.

X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).



## Figure 4.

Rapidity (\$lvert y \vert\$) dependent \$ 1 rho_ $\{00\}$ \$ for 0-80\% centrality $\mathrm{Au}+$ Aucollisions at \$ $\backslash \mathrm{sqrt}\left\{\mathrm{s} \_\{\mathrm{NN}\}\right\} \$=14.6$ (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV . Results for $\$ \backslash$ rho_ $\{00\} \$$ calculated with respect to the first and second order event plane are shown. The first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The theoretical prediction from [3] for $20-60 \%$ centrality and $\$ p_{-}\{T\} \$=[1.2,5.4] \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ is shown as a dashed blue line with a shaded band representing its uncertainty. The black dashed line represents $\$$ rho_ $\{00\}=1 / 3 \$$.

X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).



## Figure 4.

With new 14.6 GeV from Xin-Li Sheng.


## Theory Predictions

- Motion of the $\phi$-meson in the lab frame induces anisotropy of field fluctuations in $\phi$-meson rest frame perpendicular to the motion.

$$
\left\langle\delta \rho_{00}^{y}\right\rangle(\mathbf{p}) \propto \frac{1}{2} p_{T}^{2}[3 \cos (2 \varphi)-1]+\sqrt{m_{\phi}^{2}+p_{T}^{2}} \sinh ^{2} Y .
$$



arXiv:2308.14038 [nucl-th]

## Conclusions

- All results are consistent for $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ order event planes.
- BES-I and BES-II integrated $\rho_{00}$ for mid-central collisions is consistent for 19.6 GeV and we report higher precision.
- We show the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and centrality dependent $\rho_{00}$.
- Rapidity dependent results show an increasing trend with $\rho_{00}$ $\sim 1 / 3$ at $|y|=0$ and $\rho_{00}>1 / 3$ signal at $|y|=1$.
- Consistent with theory predictions in [1].
- Motion of $\phi$-meson induces anisotropy of field fluctuations perpendicular to motion, resulting in larger $\rho_{00}$ in this perpendicular plane [2].


## BACKUP

All the plots for each step of this analysis have been posted to the following blog page: https://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/blog/gwilks3/ $\varphi$ -meson-Global-Spin-Alignment-Step-Step-AnalysisDetails

## EP Resolution and Acceptance Correction

- To ensure $\rho_{00}$ with respect to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order EP is consistent with $\rho_{00}$ with respect to the $1^{\text {st }}$ order EP one must use the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order EP "resolution" with respect to the reaction plane that the $1^{\text {st }}$ order EP is perturbing around.

$$
R_{21}=\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{2}-\Psi_{r, 1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

- $\mathrm{R}_{21}$ can be found by using the following relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{12} & \equiv\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{1}-\Psi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{1}-\Psi_{r, 1}+\Psi_{r, 1}-\Psi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \approx\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{1}-\Psi_{r, 1}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\cos 2\left(\Psi_{r, 1}-\Psi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =R_{1} \cdot R_{21} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since we are using the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order sub-event plane for our $\rho_{00}$ calculations, we must use $R_{21}^{\text {Sub }}$ instead.

$$
R_{21}^{s u b}=R_{21} / \sqrt{2}
$$

Phys. Rev. C 98, 044907

## $\phi$-meson $\rho_{00}$ vs $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}:$ Systematics

1. Choose central values for each source of systematic error.
2. Vary one cut at a time while keeping the others at the default value. Vary yield extraction method for non-default cuts. Calculate $\rho_{00}$ for each variation and calculate the sources error with:

$$
\Delta \rho_{00, \text { sys }}^{i}=\frac{\rho_{00, \max }^{i}-\rho_{00, \text { min }}^{i}}{\sqrt{12}}
$$

3. Combine all sources of systematics:

$$
\Delta \rho_{00, s y s}=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(\Delta \rho_{00, s y s}^{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

## $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 14.6 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectra interpolation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi m_{T}} \frac{d^{2} N}{d m_{T} d y}= & \frac{d N / d y(n-1)(n-2)}{2 \pi n T_{\text {Levy }}\left(n T_{\text {Levy }}+m_{0}(n-2)\right)} \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{m_{T}-m_{0}}{n T_{\text {Levy }}}\right)^{-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Using Lévy function for interpolation is difficult due to parameter $n$ varying too much energy to energy.
- Function used for sampling pT in 19.6 GeV simulations. Centrality 5

$\frac{1}{2 \pi m_{T}} \frac{d^{2} N}{d m_{T} d y}=\frac{d N / d y}{2 \pi T_{\exp }\left(m_{0}+T_{\exp }\right)} e^{-\left(m_{T}-m_{0}\right) / T_{\text {exp }}}$,
- In exponential function we have two well behaved parameters (dN/dy) and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {exp }}$
- This will be used for extrapolation.
- Fit the distributions of the two parameters as a function of collision energy.
- We really only need $T_{\text {exp }}$ since $d N / d y$ is just a normalization and we just want the shape.
- Then we can just grab the interpolated parameters for 14.6 GeV and generate the spectra for simulation.

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 79, 064903 (2009)

## $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au} 14.6 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectra interpolation

10-20\% centrality




# Theory Uncertainty 

From Xin-Li Sheng on uncertainty calculations:
"1. By fitting center values for rho_00^y and rho_ $00^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}$, we obtain the center values for parameters F_T ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ and $\mathrm{F}_{-} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 2$. Since we have two parameters and two $\overline{\text { results, }}$, there is no calculation uncertainty in this process.
2. In a similar way, we calculate $\mathrm{F}_{-} \mathrm{T}^{\wedge} 2$ and F_z^2 using (rho_00^y +- sigma_y $\bar{y}$ ) and (rho_00^x + - sigma_x), where sigma denotes the total uncertainty, given by the STAR's paper. So we obtain four sets of parameters. For each parameter, we take the maximum value among these sets as the upper limit for the uncertainty band, and take the minimum value as the lower limit.
3. Using the above four sets of parameters, we calculate rho_00 as a function of rapidity and obtain four results. Again, we take the maximum (minimum) value as the upper (lower) limit for the uncertainty band."
X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).


$$
\left\langle\left(g_{\phi} \mathbf{B}_{x, y}^{\phi} / T_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(g_{\phi} \mathbf{E}_{x, y}^{\phi} / T_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \equiv F_{T}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(g_{\phi} \mathbf{B}_{z}^{\phi} / T_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(g_{\phi} \mathbf{E}_{z}^{\phi} / T_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \equiv F_{z}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.C^{[1} \omega^{\prime} \cdot \omega^{\prime}-\left(\varepsilon_{0} \cdot \omega^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\text {vorticity contributions }} \\
& \left.\rho_{00}(x, \mathbf{k}) \approx \frac{1}{3}+C_{1} \frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}-\left(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \quad \text { are negligible } \\
& \begin{array}{c|c}
C_{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\left(\epsilon_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& -\frac{4 g_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{\phi}^{2} T_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}} C_{1}\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{B}_{\phi}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\phi}^{\prime}-\left(\epsilon_{0} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\phi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{4 g_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{\phi}^{2} T_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}} C_{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{E}_{\phi}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\phi}^{\prime}-\left(\epsilon_{0} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\phi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

## Figure 1.



Transverse momentum (\$p_\{T\}\$) dependent $\$ \backslash$ rho_ $\{00\}$ \$ with respect to the first and second order event planes for 20$60 \%$ centrality $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at \$\sqrt\{s_\{NN \} \}\$ = 14.6 (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV. The first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The theoretical prediction from [2] is shown as a dashed blue line with a shaded band representing its uncertainty.
X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).


# Figure 1. (new) 

Transverse momentum (\$p_\{T\}\$) dependent $\$ \backslash$ rho $\{00\} \$$ with respect to the first and second order event planes for 20$60 \%$ centrality $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at \$\sqrt\{s_\{NN\}\}\$ = 14.6 (top) and 19.6 (bottom) GeV . BES-I (BES-II) results are shown as unfilled (filled) symbols [1]. All first order event plane results are slightly shifted horizontally. The vertical lines are statistical uncertainties and boxes represent systematic uncertainties. The theoretical prediction from [2] is shown as a dashed blue line with a shaded band representing its uncertainty.
X.L. Sheng et al. PRL 131, 042304 (2023).


